POL 3/11/08

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	MINUTES
11	POLICY COMMITTEE
12	Council of the County of Maui
13	Council Chamber
14	March 11, 2008
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONVENE:	1:39 a.m.
2	PRESENT:	VOTING MEMBERS:
3		Councilmember Danny A. Mateo, Chair Councilmember G. Riki Hokama, Vice-Chair (In 1:51 p.m.; Out 3:45 p.m.)
4		Councilmember Michelle Anderson (In 1:41 p.m.)
5		Councilmember Gladys C. Baisa (In 2:24 p.m.)
6		Councilmember Jo Anne Johnson (Out 2:51 p.m.)
7		Councilmember Bill Kauakea Medeiros
8		Councilmember Michael J. Molina Councilmember Joseph Pontanilla
9		Councilmember Michael P. Victorino
10	STAFF:	Gayle Revels, Legislative Analyst (Item No. 2(10); Out 2:51 p.m.)
10		Kirstin Hamman, Legislative Attorney (In 2:44
11		p.m.; Item Nos. $4(1)$ , $1(18)$ , and $1(19)$ )
12		Camille Sakamoto, Committee Secretary
13	ADMIN:	Marian Feenstra, Executive Assistant, Office of the Mayor (Item No. 2(10))
14		Gary Yabuta, Deputy Chief, Department of Police (Item No. 1(19))
15		Traci Fujita Villarosa, First Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of the Corporation Counsel (Item No. 2(10))
16		Madelyn S. D'Enbeau, Deputy Corporation
17		Counsel, Department of the Corporation Counsel (Item No. 4(1) and 1(18))
18		Laureen L. Martin, Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of the Corporation Counsel, (Item No. 1(19))
19		Moana M. Lutey, Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of the Corporation Counsel
20		(Item No. 1(19))
21	OTHERS:	Lynn Gushiken Krieg, Director, Department of Personnel Services (Item No. 2(10))
22		DeGray Vanderbilt (Item No. 2(10))
23		Five(5) additional unidentified attendees
0.4	PRESS:	Akaku: Maui Community Television, Inc.
24		Ilima Loomis, <i>The Maui News</i>
25		

POL 3/11/08

1	CHAIR MATEO:(gavel) Policy Committee meeting for
2	March 11, 2008, will come to order. The Members
3	present this afternoon, we have Member Johnson,
4	Member Medeiros, Member Molina, Member Pontanilla,
5	Member Victorino; excused this afternoon and
6	hopefully will be joining us shortly is Member
7	Chairman Hokama and Member Anderson.
8	Members, we have four items on this
9	afternoon's agenda that I believe we'll be able to
10	address a little quicker than anticipated.
11	At this time before getting into our agenda,
12	we have we have one individual who have signed up
13	to testify this afternoon. This is Lynn Kreig, and
14	she'll be testifying on Policy Item 2(10).
15	Ms. Kreig?
16	BEGIN PUBLIC TESTIMONY
17	MS. KREIG: Good afternoon, Chair Mateo and Members of the
18	Policy Committee. I am Lynn Kreig, Director of
19	Personnel Services for the County of Maui.
20	Thank you for the opportunity to present
21	testimony this afternoon in regards to policy
22	POL-2(10), Nominations to Boards, Committees, and
23	Commissions, specifically on Item Roman numeral
24	II.1.F. Civil Service Commission regarding nominee
25	Paula Ann Heiskell.

Commission.

First of all, I'd like to clarify that my
testimony this afternoon is not appointee specific,
as I have no issues with the individual nominated,
except for the fact that she is an active civil
service employee for the County of Maui.
Prior to July 1st, 2002, Section 76-51 of the
Hawaii Revised Statutes stated in part that no
person who occupies any elective or appointive
office or any position under the State or County
government shall be eligible for membership on or
continue to be a member of the Civil Service

Effective July 1st, 2002, this portion of Section 76-51 was amended by deleting reference to "or any position" and changing the reference to "Civil Service Commission" to "Merit Appeals Board."

While it appears that the deleted reference to "or any position" now allows for a State or County civil service employee to be appointed to a board or commission, I would submit that Section 78-4 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes, boards and commissions; service limited, further provides for exceptions when there is an inconsistency or incompatibility with such appointment.

In the case of the Civil Service Commission, I

1	contend that there is such inconsistency or
2	incompatibility for the following reasons. First of
3	all, the majority in the majority of cases, our
4	civil service employees are also included in
5	collective bargaining and are members of, or
6	affiliated with, one of the four major labor unions
7	with whom we negotiate contracts.
8	While Section 76-51 covers both the State and
9	counties, Section 76-75 of the Hawaii Revised
LO	Statutes only applies to the County jurisdictions.
L1	And Section 76-75, as well as our Maui County
L2	Charter, states that the Civil Service Commission
L3	appoints the Director of Personnel Services.
L 4	The Director of Personnel Services is
L5	responsible for negotiating wages, hours, and terms
L6	and conditions of work for employees. The Director

responsible for negotiating wages, hours, and terms and conditions of work for employees. The Director also recommends adjustments for excluded employees to the Mayor. Therefore, it would be a conflict to have someone have that appointive power over the Personnel Director, when the Director, in turn, must act on their wages, hours, and terms and conditions of employment.

In addition, the Civil Service Commission is responsible for hearing appeals by any employees against actions taken by the Personnel Director or

1	other department heads. In hearing appeals, the
2	members must interpret civil service rules and make
3	judgements as to whether they are in agreement with
4	the application of such rules.
5	I contend that it is inconsistent and
6	incompatible to have an active County civil service
7	employee hearing the complaints of other County
8	employees for the purpose of passing judgment over
9	the actions of a County department head or to be
10	placed in a position of authority that could
11	influence the interpretation of rules which may have
12	an impact on that individual's future.
13	For these reasons, it is with regret that I
14	must ask that your Committee disapprove the
15	nomination of Ms. Paula Ann Heiskell to the Civil
16	Service Commission and further ask that no active
17	County civil service employees be appointed to the
18	Civil Service Commission. Thank you for the
19	opportunity to testify.
20	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Kreig. Members, questions for
21	the Director? Go ahead, Mr. Medeiros.
22	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chair. Yes. Were you
23	aware of this while it was being reviewed by the
24	Mayor's Office for consideration?
25	MS KREIG: No I was not

1 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: You were not. Okay. You only

- became aware once you saw the final list that was
- 3 coming down?
- 4 MS. KREIG: Correct.
- 5 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: I see. Mahalo, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Members, additional questions for
- 7 the Director? Madam -- Ms. Director, thank you very
- 8 much for sharing your concerns with -- with the
- 9 Committee.
- 10 When testimonies are completed, the Chair will
- ask the Administration, you know, to provide comment
- on -- on this particular nomination. And the Chair
- 13 would also like to ask the Director for your
- assistance because whether you're aware of it or
- 15 not, this Administration, like the -- like the past,
- 16 are all having difficulty in getting individuals to
- 17 come forward and apply to fill these positions. So
- 18 I will also ask you to assist in also finding
- 19 individuals who would like to volunteer.
- 20 MS. KREIG: Right. I understand that they are having
- 21 difficulty. And in fact, I have made a short list
- of, I think, three names that I will be giving to
- 23 the Administration as a suggestion.
- 24 CHAIR MATEO: Terrific. Thank you very much.
- 25 MS. KREIG: Okay.

1 CHAIR MATEO: Additional questions, Members? Thank you,

- 2 Ms. Kreig.
- 3 MS. KREIG: Thank you.
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR MATEO: At -- at this time, the Chair would like to
- 6 offer anyone in the gallery the opportunity to share
- 7 your comments with the Committee on any of the items
- 8 listed on our agenda this afternoon.
- 9 If you can just provide your testimony,
- 10 Mr. Vanderbilt, and fill out the form when you're
- done.
- 12 MR. VANDERBILT: Thank you, Chair Mateo and Members. My
- name's DeGray Vanderbilt. I'm Chair of the Molokai
- 14 Planning Commission.
- 15 I'm here speaking, though, as -- as an
- 16 individual. And as I understand it, and I don't
- have the list, there's two nominees for the Molokai
- 18 Planning Commission, Lori Buchanan and, I believe,
- 19 Don Williams.
- 20 And, of course, Lori was a former Chair of the
- 21 Planning Commission. She was always in attendance.
- 22 She's continued to be in attendance at many
- 23 different Planning meetings, the Water Working
- 24 Group, the GPAC meetings, and she's continued to be
- 25 heavily involved and somebody that will be at the

meetings, and that -- that's so important.

2	This is my last year on the Planning
3	Commission. I'm proud to say I made every meeting
4	during the last year, as did a couple of others, but
5	we've had a problem with attendance sometimes so
6	it's really important that the commitment is made by
7	these people.
8	As far as Don Williams, I I had a long talk
9	with him over a couple of beers at the Kahului
10	Airport after he had talked with Councilman Mateo,
11	and and I just want to give you a little
12	background on Don.
13	I I I think he's a smart fellow, he's a

I -- I -- I think he's a smart fellow, he's a nice guy, he's a contractor on the west end. He filed to be a Member of the Planning Commission because he was angry about a swimming pool issue on the west end that he didn't think our Planning Commission handled correctly. And -- and that's fine. We -- we got no problems. We get shots all the time on the Planning Commission. But Don was also the guy that built this guy John McAfee's house on the west end, and McAfee went on to terrorize the island, and he's gone now.

But Don's girlfriend is a Realtor, Stephanie Coble there, and she's a very nice person. I think

- 1 they have Molokai at heart. It wouldn't be bad
- 2 having a contractor's expertise on the Commission.
- 3 He builds mostly on the west end at the Kaluakoi
- 4 Resort, but -- and I'm hopeful that, you know, he
- says that, you know, he's seen the light about
- 6 Molokai now, and -- and he's sort of changed his
- 7 practices and -- and so I'm hopeful that he will --
- 8 will be a good addition to our Molokai Planning
- 9 Commission and will attend regularly.
- 10 And with that, Commissioner Mateo, that's the
- end of my testimony.
- 12 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Vanderbilt. Members,
- questions for the testifier? Mr. Medeiros?
- 14 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chairman. Yeah,
- 15 Mr. Vanderbilt, how -- how long has Mr. Williams
- been a part of the Molokai Community?
- 17 MR. VANDERBILT: Oh, I -- I don't know. I -- I would think
- it's beyond --
- 19 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: More than five years, more than
- ten years?
- 21 MR. VANDERBILT: I don't know if it's more than ten, but
- he's -- he's been there seven or eight years, maybe.
- 23 I -- it may be on his resume. I -- I don't know.
- 24 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Oh, okay. We can look at that.
- 25 MR. VANDERBILT: Yeah.

1 (	COUNCILMEMBER	MEDEIROS:	And	and	SO	he '	S	been	а
-----	---------------	-----------	-----	-----	----	------	---	------	---

- 2 contractor throughout that time?
- 3 MR. VANDERBILT: Yes. I mean, he was -- he even came
- 4 before our Planning Commission when he was doing
- 5 McAfee's house for an after-the-fact permit and
- 6 defended his action by saying all the other guys out
- 7 here aren't getting any permits when they're doing
- 8 building, but -- so that -- I don't know what that
- 9 did, but -- but apparently, you know, he cares.
- 10 And -- and I know his -- his girlfriend is a
- 11 Realtor, and she -- she's come in and -- and at
- least made an appearance and -- and -- on some
- controversial issues and been very straightforward
- and honest.
- 15 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Vanderbilt.
- 16 Mahalo, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Medeiros. Additional
- 18 questions, Members? Seeing none -- Ms. Anderson, go
- 19 ahead.
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Thank you, Chair. DeGray, thanks
- 21 for being here.
- 22 So are there -- would there be occasions that
- 23 you could think of where permits would be coming
- forward for the west end? You say he's a --
- 25 MR. VANDERBILT: Contractor.

1 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: -- contractor on the west end

- 2 that --
- 3 MR. VANDERBILT: He lives on the west end, yeah.
- 4 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: But -- but that doesn't mean he
- 5 wouldn't be doing work other places on the island,
- 6 right?
- 7 MR. VANDERBILT: Right, right --
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: I'm just concerned about a
- 9 conflict of interest.
- 10 MR. VANDERBILT: -- right. No. No, he -- he could,
- although there's a lot of pretty high-end houses
- coming up on the west end now so he's probably going
- to be as busy as he can be. They're turning away
- work now.
- 15 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Well, I'm just think -- thinking
- 16 as a current Planning Commissioner, you probably
- have, you know, your finger on the pulse of what's
- 18 going on over there and so would there -- could
- there be occasions where items might come up to the
- 20 Planning Commission that he would find himself in
- 21 conflict?
- 22 MR. VANDERBILT: Well, he was upset over an issue that came
- 23 before our Planning Commission where he was the
- 24 contractor on a big oceanfront home and so I guess
- 25 if he was a contractor on something before the

- 1 Commission, he'd have to recuse himself.
- 2 I -- I'm not all that clear about what's a
- 3 conflict and what's not. It's never been explained
- 4 to us, you know. It's all this well, if you have a
- 5 financial interest or -- or whatever, but...
- 6 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: It could even be the appearance --
- 7 MR. VANDERBILT: Well, yeah.
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: -- of a conflict due to
- 9 financial -- direct or indirect financial interest.
- 10 MR. VANDERBILT: Okay. Well, he -- he could. I mean,
- 11 you -- you take this Laau Point controversy on
- Molokai, everybody on the island is one side or the
- other so you could say the Planning Commission,
- 14 whosever on the Planning Commission, they all have
- 15 to recuse themselves and -- so I -- I don't know.
- 17 had a long talk with him. I -- I think Councilman
- 18 Mateo had a talk -- a long talk with him, and -- and
- 19 I would give him a chance.
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 21 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Anderson. Additional
- 22 questions? Thank you, Mr. Vanderbilt.
- 23 Members, Mr. Vanderbilt was the last
- individual to testify. And, Mr. Vanderbilt, if you
- 25 can be sure to fill out your -- your testifying

- 1 form.
- With no objections at this point, Members, the
- 3 Chair would like to close public testimony.
- 4 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections.
- 5 . . END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY. . .
- 6 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much. And just to provide a
- 7 response to Mr. Medeiros' questions regarding the
- 8 tenure on Molokai for that individual, it's
- 9 14 years.
- 10 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chairman.
- 11 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you.
- 12 ITEM NO. 2(10): NOMINATIONS TO BOARDS, COMMITTEES, AND COMMISSIONS (VARIOUS) (C.C. No. 07-7)

13

- 14 CHAIR MATEO: Members, we're going to go right into the
- 15 agenda and address the first item on the agenda,
- 16 which is Policy 2(10), Nominations to Boards,
- 17 Committees, and Commissions.
- 18 In accordance with the Charter of the County
- of Maui, Section 13.2.16, January 31st, 2008, Mayor
- 20 Tayares transmitted the list of nominees to the
- 21 respective boards, committees, and commissions to
- this Committee. It is now subject to our review,
- consideration, and appropriate action.
- The list of nominees is quite extensive. And,
- 25 Members, if you recall, Committee Staff had polled

Τ	the Committee Members to gauge whether or not there
2	was an interest to interview respective nominees.
3	We were not able to get three Members
4	requesting an interview of specific individuals;
5	therefore, no one had been requested to be present
6	for interviews.
7	And, Members, before we go any farther with
8	this particular Committee item, the Chair would like
9	to make it clear this afternoon the Chair's intent
10	is to defer Policy Item 2(10).
11	We virtually have run out of time. This item
12	however, will be posted on on the March 18th,
13	2008, Council meeting, at which time I will ask to
14	discharge the Committee and to vote on the floor.
15	If we do not take this action, the next
16	Council meeting would, therefore, be April 4th, and
17	that would have we would have exceeded the
18	deadline required for us to approve or disapprove.
19	So at this time, Members, we will run through
20	the list of nominees for the sake of discussion so
21	we can address issues at this point prior to getting
22	on the Council floor.
23	The Chair does have, representing the
24	Administration, we have Ms. Feenstra. And she did
25	hear the testifier earlier this afternoon,

- 1 Ms. Kreig, make reference to the Civil Service
- 2 Commission nominee and if you could provide comment
- 3 to those concerns expressed by the Director,
- 4 Ms. Feenstra?
- 5 MS. FEENSTRA: Good afternoon --
- 6 CHAIR MATEO: Good afternoon.
- 7 MS. FEENSTRA: -- Chair and Council Members. I will take
- 8 the testimony from Ms. Kreig and present it to the
- 9 Mayor for her to -- to check out and -- and see what
- she would prefer to do and also ask Council.
- 11 CHAIR MATEO: Okay. So you will be able to get back to the
- 12 Chair or the Committee as soon as possible so we can
- get some kind of an understanding as to the
- Chair's -- the Mayor's intent at this point to
- 15 either withdraw or -- or to -- it's too late to
- 16 resubmit another name at this point, so the --
- 17 MS. FEENSTRA: Uh-huh.
- 18 CHAIR MATEO: -- the only -- the only option for the
- Administration is to just withdraw that name, or we
- 20 could just deny it.
- 21 MS. FEENSTRA: I can get with the Mayor to withdraw or
- if -- if you, as a -- as a Committee, want to deny
- it, that would be --
- 24 CHAIR MATEO: Okay. And I think it -- it also is a good
- opportunity at this point, you know, to be able to

- be sure you're -- you're -- since you're the one
- 2 who's going to be dealing with all these boards and
- 3 commissions from -- from here on end, to be aware of
- 4 the specific requirements when looking at nominees
- 5 so we can avoid these issues in -- in the future. I
- 6 think that might be really helpful to us.
- 7 MS. FEENSTRA: Okay.
- 8 CHAIR MATEO: Members --
- 9 MS. REVELS: Mr. Chair? Mr. Chair?
- 10 CHAIR MATEO: Go ahead.
- 11 MS. REVELS: Point of clarification. There is no procedure
- for the Mayor's Office to withdraw a nomination at
- this point. The Committee would need to disapprove.
- 14 CHAIR MATEO: Disapprove it. Thank you. The Chair stands
- 15 corrected. Thank you, Ms. Feenstra. Okay. Thank
- 16 you -- thank you, Ms. Revels.
- Members, we're going to go through this list,
- this rather lengthy list. But before doing so,
- 19 Mr. Medeiros, go ahead.
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chairman. Yeah, and
- I -- I -- I'm sure you would have gotten to -- I
- 22 wanted to ask Corp. Counsel on the same nominee that
- you were just discussing with the Mayor's
- 24 representative if indeed she's a disqualified
- 25 candidate, or is that Ms. Kreig's recommendation

- 1 that she not be considered?
- 2 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Corporation Counsel, Ms. Fujita
- 3 Villarosa?
- 4 MS. FUJITA VILLAROSA: Mr. Chair, I don't believe my office
- 5 has had an opportunity to look at this particular
- 6 issue.
- 7 I took a look at the State statute, and
- 8 there's not a -- it's not a clear black-and-white
- 9 issue. I think that it requires some analysis.
- 10 Any time you deal with conflicts, it's -- it's
- 11 -- usually there's some gray area involved so at
- 12 this time, I -- I wouldn't -- I wouldn't want to
- make an opinion from the -- from my office. I'd
- 14 like our office to take a look at it and -- and
- 15 provide the advice at a later time at the -- maybe
- at the -- at the next meeting.
- 17 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Thank you for your
- 18 response. Mahalo, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Medeiros. Members, additional
- 20 questions before going through this list? Seeing
- 21 none, at this time, the Chair will ask our Committee
- 22 Staff to please go down the -- the listing for us.
- Ms. Revels?
- 24 And you can follow along, Members, as we go
- 25 through this long ordeal.

POL 3/11/08

1	MS. REVELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In the first
2	correspondence dated January 31st, 2008, from the
3	Mayor, requesting consideration of the following,
4	nominees to boards, committees, and commissions,
5	requiring Council approval or disapproval within 60
6	days for terms expiring as indicated.
7	First, the Board of Ethics, Michael R.
8	Westfall, replacing Peter Soman, for a term expiring
9	on March 31st, 2010; the Civil Service Commission,
10	Ellen Joy Pelissero, replacing Abe Kaahui, for a
11	term expiring on March 31st, 2011.
12	To the Commission on Naming Streets, Parks,
13	and Facilities, Robert Vafaie, replacing Verna
14	Nahulu, for a term expiring on March 31st, 2010; and
15	Keoni Kaniela Kali, replacing Karen Arakawa, for a
16	term expiring on March 31st, 2010.
17	To the Cost of Government Commission, Gina
18	Marie Flammer, replacing Stephen Goldsmith, for a
19	term expiring on March 31st, 2008; Malcolm Hearne
20	Findley, replacing Keith Moniz, for a term expiring
21	on March 31st, 2008; and Leo Kaniela Caires,
22	replacing Frederic Schwettmann, for a term expiring
23	on March 31st, 2008.
24	To the Council on Aging, Deldrine Manera,
25	replacing Karen Holt, for a term expiring on

1	March 31st, 2009; and to the Maui County Grants
2	Review Committee, R. Susan Rubenstein, replacing
3	Cynthia Arruiza, for a term expiring on March 31st,
4	2008. Correspondence
5	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Chairman?
6	CHAIR MATEO: Go ahead, Mr. Hokama.
7	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: May if you would consider us just
8	taking up the first correspondence
9	CHAIR MATEO: That's fine. Yeah, that will be fine.
10	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: at this time?
11	CHAIR MATEO: That will be fine. Go ahead.
12	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Chairman, thank you. I would like to
13	bring up the portion under the Maui County Grants
14	Review Committee.
15	I have not met Ms. Rubenstein, and my concern
16	is not whether or not she should be disapproved. My
17	comment is the replacement of the late-Cynthia
18	Arruiza of Lanai, Chairman.
19	It is definitely the intent has always
20	been, through our charter and through our
21	ordinances, to try and get as best geographical
22	balance on our boards and commissions.
23	One of the difficulties that I have been made
24	aware of from various administrations is the
25	participation from Lanai. The key issue for

1	participation from Lanai is the difficulty of
2	transportation getting to and from Lanai to Maui for
3	those requirements of attendance.

Because we've always asked appoint -nominees, will you make the meetings, can you
attend, can you fulfill your responsibilities and
obligations that you're going to accept.

I bring this up because I, you know, believe we may be able to get better participation from the Island of Lanai if there's ways that we can have the Administration consider trying to find, maybe, adjustments in scheduling and time of boards and commission meetings where we have Countywide representation.

If you will look, there is very few Lanai residents that participate on boards and commission.

And the primary concern is, one, we basically have no direct air service on a daily basis.

You -- as for me, I always have to go through around the horn, which is Honolulu, or for those that wish to, to take the ferry. But that is one of the key considerations I just bring up, and I would ask that the Administration's representative take this back and -- and to have it discussed to see if there's ways.

1	Because one of the things that I have been
2	told was that if there are ways that they can
3	participate or have the meetings scheduled to
4	accommodate their transportation restrictions, if I
5	could use that word, Chairman, it would help us, and
6	particularly me, to solicit more volunteers that
7	would like to serve on County boards and
8	commissions.
9	And so I just share this with the Committee
10	because unlike fortunately for you, Mr. Chairman,
11	you have daily morning/afternoon service between
12	Molokai and Maui, direct air service. It is, of
13	course, a convenience not having to go through a
14	different air another airport that our Lanai
15	residents would have to go through.
16	So I bring this up, Members, because I would
17	like to have more participation from our residents
18	on Lanai. It has always been brought to my
19	attention this is the number one issue, is how to
20	attend and yet fit that within the restrictions of
21	either sea travel or air travel. Thank you,
22	Chairman.
23	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Hokama. Point point well
24	taken. And and similar to yourself, one of
25	one of the neighbor island's biggest problems in

1	filling these positions with our people is
2	oftentimes, they come here and there's not enough
3	there's no quorum to actually hold the meeting. So
4	they leave, like my island, at 6:55 in the morning,
5	and they got to wait until 5:30 to go home and
6	there's no meeting to to come to.
7	So, yeah, the rural areas does have a lot more
8	difficult needs to be addressed when they serve on
9	boards and commissions. Point well taken, and I'm
10	sure Ms. Feenstra will carry the message back and
11	have a discussion with Administration.
12	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Thank you, Chairman.
13	CHAIR MATEO: Mr. Pontanilla, followed by Mr. Medeiros?
14	COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: Based on the comments that
15	that you and Ms Chairman Hokama made, I don't
16	know if this is proper, but in regards to the
17	meeting itself, does the commissioner need to be,
18	you know, meeting face-to-face with the rest of the
19	Commission, or can the commissioner, maybe, from
20	Hana, Molokai, Lanai or even here, you know, the
21	other parts of Maui, attend the meeting via
22	teleconference?
23	CHAIR MATEO: Uh-huh.
24	COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: I I don't know if if it's
25	okay or or maybe Corporation Counsel can provide

- 1 us with a comment in regards to teleconferencing
- for, you know, commissioners that can't readily fly
- 3 to Maui or -- or travel from Hana to -- to Wailuku
- 4 or wherever the meeting is located.
- 5 CHAIR MATEO: Corp. Counsel, would you be able to provide a
- 6 response?
- 7 MS. FUJITA VILLAROSA: Mr. Chair, I was trying to look up
- 8 the provision real fast in HRS, but there is a
- 9 provision that allows for a tele --
- 10 videoconferencing.
- 11 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: Videoconferencing.
- 12 MS. FUJITA VILLAROSA: Not telephone conference.
- 13 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: Okay.
- 14 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Additional questions,
- 15 Mr. Pontanilla?
- 16 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: Yeah. I -- I -- I don't know if
- 17 any of those islands or Hana, for example, do have
- 18 videoconferences -- videoconferencing capabilities
- or even Maui County, so maybe that's something that
- 20 we need to take a look at. Thank you.
- 21 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Pontanilla. Mr. Medeiros?
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chairman. Yeah.
- Under that first grouping, which is Roman numeral I
- and under B, Civil Service Commission, so do we also
- 25 have to now, under Ms. Kreig's description of her

1 not recommending the other Civil Service Commission

- person, would also Ellen Joy Pelissero also be under
- 3 that consideration?
- 4 Because she also works for government, and she
- 5 works for DLNR, which I'm sure she's a union member
- 6 which was the concerns of Ms. Kreig because of the
- 7 negotiations with the unions, so does the Mayor
- 8 Office also have to look at her?
- 9 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Ms. -- Ms. Feenstra, would --
- 10 would you be aware?
- 11 MS. FEENSTRA: I think I should probably look at her
- 12 application to see if -- if she is working for DLNR.
- 13 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Well, on -- on her application, I
- 14 don't know what -- what date this was done, this was
- 15 a year ago in January, she listed her position as
- 16 DLNR working for KIRC, which is the Kahoolawe Island
- 17 Reserve Commission, and DLNR is a State, you know,
- department.
- 19 MS. ANDERSON: She still does.
- 20 MS. FEENSTRA: She still does?
- 21 CHAIR MATEO: Ms. Anderson?
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Yeah. I saw her at lunch a week
- or so ago, and she still does work for KIRC.
- 24 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you.
- 25 MS. FEENSTRA: Oh, okay.

1	CHAIR	MATEO:	Well,	Ms.	Feenstra,	if	you	can	follow	up	and
---	-------	--------	-------	-----	-----------	----	-----	-----	--------	----	-----

- get back to this Committee. I would be surprised,
- 3 then, why the Director would come up and just
- 4 reference one individual instead of -- instead of
- 5 both of 'em.
- 6 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: Chairman?
- 7 CHAIR MATEO: Yeah, go ahead.
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: I -- I think the Director was
- 9 trying to point out that because the employee does
- report to her department, that it seems that, you
- 11 know, kind of, you know, now I -- I have this
- opportunity to look at my boss in a different light.
- 13 So, you know, trying to get away from that,
- 14 you know, I'm your boss today and then, you know,
- 15 when I do meet as a civil service commissioner, you
- 16 know, tables are turned around, yeah? Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR MATEO: Yeah. Point well taken, Mr. Pontanilla.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 Members, additional questions on this first
- listing of nominees? Thank you. Ms. Revels, can
- 21 you continue?
- 22 MS. REVELS: The second correspondence is also dated
- 23 January 31st, 2008, from the Mayor, requesting
- consideration of the following, nominees to boards,
- 25 committees, and commissions, requiring Council

1	approval or disapproval within 60 days for terms
2	expiring on March 31st, 2013, unless otherwise
3	indicated.
4	First, the Affirmative Action Advisory
5	Council, Jon Schlobohm; second, to the Board of Code
6	Appeals, Herman Nascimento; to the Board of Ethics,
7	Marti Wukelic and Gretchen Guy, who's a
8	reappointment; to the Board of Variances and
9	Appeals, Kevin Tanaka and Sandra Lee Duvauchelle; to
10	the Board of Water Supply, Ted Yamamura and Scott
11	Luck, who's a reappointment; the Civil Service
12	Commission, Paula Heiskell; to the Commission on
13	Children and Youth, Lis Richardson, Ailina Laborte,
14	Edward Garcia; to the Commission on Culture and the
15	Arts, Craig Gardner and Randi Pack; to the Cost of
16	Government Commission, Robert George Hill, III, for
17	a term expiring on March 31st, 2010, and Craig
18	Conway Anderson, for a term expiring on March 31st,
19	2010; to the Council on Aging, Douglas Scott Harr
20	and Marlene Young, who is a reappointment; to the
21	Fire and Public Safety Commission, Kevyn Correa; to
22	the Hana Advisory Committee, Tina-Marie Kahula; to
23	the Civil Service Commission oops, sorry.
24	To the Liquor Control Adjudication Board,
25	Jason Harvey Medeiros and Ralph Norio Masuda; to the

1	Liquor Control Commission, James Takeshi Viela and
2	Henry Kauka, Jr., reappointment; to the Maui County
3	Cultural Resources Commission, Raymond Hutaff; to
4	the Maui County Grants Review Committee, Phyllis
5	Sato; to the Maui County Outdoor Lighting Standards
6	Committee, Joseph Ritter, for a term expiring on
7	March 31st, 2010, Sye Vasquez, for a term expiring
8	March 31st, 2010, and Delilah Dela Rosa Salcedo, for
9	a term expiring March 31st, 2009; to the Maui
10	Planning Commission, Donna Lee Domingo and Kent M.
11	Hiranaga, reappointment; to the Molokai Planning
12	Commission, Lori Buchanan and Donald Williams; to
13	the Police Commission, Kirk Tanaka and David
14	Jorgensen; to the Real Property Tax Review Board,
15	Susanna Sato; to the Salary Commission, Monica
16	Revells; to the Subdivision Engineering Standards
17	Committee, Chadwick Ken Fukunaga and Edward Thomas
18	Lewicke.
19	Nominees to boards, committees, and
20	commissions, requiring Council approval or
21	disapproval with no time limitations for terms
22	expiring on March 31st, 2013.
23	To the Kula Agricultural Park Committee,
24	Norman Masato Nagata; to the Maui Redevelopment
25	Agency, Katharine Olga Popenuk; and to the Urban

1	Design Review Board, Linda Jean Berry, an alternate
2	member.
3	Nominees to boards, committees, and
4	commissions, appointed by the Mayor with notice to,
5	but without approval by, the Council for terms
6	expiring on March 31st, 2013, unless otherwise
7	indicated.
8	To the Committee on Status of Women, Paulette
9	Ann McFaul, replacing Emma Fay, for a term expiring
LO	on March 31st, 2010; to the Maui County Arborist
L1	Committee, Monroe Thomas Bryce; and to the Traffic
L2	Safety Council, David John Peterson.
L3	CHAIR MATEO: Okay. We'll stop there. Members, any
L4	questions for any of those that we just read?
L5	Ms Ms. Johnson, go ahead.
L6	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Yes. I I just wanted to ask
L7	Marian about some of, I guess, the boards and
L8	commissions in terms of their balance.
L9	And particularly I'm looking at I just
20	looked through the applications, and we have a
21	number of people involved in the realty business
22	throughout many of our boards and commissions.
23	So I just want to understand how are these
24	nominees coming forward, are is there a blue
25	ribbon panel, are are they just being picked by

1	the Mayor?
2	CHAIR MATEO: Ms. Feenstra, would you be able to respond?
3	MS. FEENSTRA: Well, the Mayor makes the selections. The
4	applications come in via either the advertisement
5	that we've done in the paper or from the radio ads
6	that we had, and we do e-mail to other groups.
7	I think I've talked to several, well,
8	Directors and Members of Council, especially for,
9	like, Lanai and Molokai and Hana for applicants.
10	So from that pool of applicants, we create a
11	list of, you know, people prioritized exactly what
12	commission or committee or board they want to be on,
13	and we go from there and then we try to balance it
14	out as best we can with the number of applicants we
15	have.
16	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. And and how many people
17	do you generally send rejection letters to?
18	MS. FEENSTRA: I think we may have sent about 90 rejection
19	letters this time.
20	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. And of those 90 rejection
21	letters that you send out, do you offer, perhaps,
22	another board or commission or you know, because
23	obviously, these people are expressing an interest
24	in coming on a board or a commission. Even though
25	they list their priorities, you know, they're people

that obviously have come forward to serve in some capacity.

- 3 So do you make any attempt to at least solicit
- 4 their feedback on whether or not they would be
- 5 interested in any other board or commission once you
- 6 list which ones are available?
- 7 MS. FEENSTRA: Yes. Yeah. A lot of people, if they --
- 8 especially on their applications if you notice that
- 9 they only want one particular board or commission,
- they're pretty set on that because I have called
- quite a few of those to see if they'd be interested
- in another board or commission. I can't say that
- 13 I've called every single one --
- 14 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
- 15 MS. FEENSTRA: -- because of time constraints, but I have
- 16 called numerous people to see if they would be
- interested in another board or commission, depending
- on their application and where I think -- you know,
- from the application you don't know everything about
- a person, but it gives you a little something to go
- 21 by.
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
- 23 MS. FEENSTRA: So I -- I have done that and then I would
- let the Mayor know that that person was also
- interested in another board or commission.

1	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. And and about how many
2	applications do you get as a general rule when
3	let's say on the second batch that we're going
4	through, you know, several hundred, or
5	MS. FEENSTRA: We received about 150 applications, and we
6	had applications from last year also.
7	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
8	MS. FEENSTRA: And then since we closed, kind of officially
9	closed the applications so we could make the
10	selections on January 18th, I've received another 20
11	applications.
12	So I'm keeping it open. I want to continue
13	recruiting and have it be open recruiting throughout
14	the year because we do get people that resign for,
15	you know, different reasons because a lot of the
16	terms are five-year terms, which is difficult for
17	someone to always commit to.
18	So, I mean, there's definitely room for
19	improvement and, you know, I kind of just inherited
20	this from from Diane, and she did the best she
21	could to get me up to speed, but I think next year
22	will be better.
23	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. With regard to the Planning
24	Commission, I know when Councilmember Tavares was,
25	you know, there as opposed to Mayor Tavares,

particularly on Planning, she was always very careful not to place people on a board, and particularly Planning, because that -- you know, you're making land use decisions, where many times there are financial gains either for the companies that you're affiliated with, representation that you actually are involved in with regard to unions, industry, and even I guess you would say geographics because we try to represent each community.

But Mayor Tavares, in a conversation I had the other day, I -- I -- I questioned her about did she have a change in philosophy with regard to the Planning Commission only because when you look at conflict of interest or potential for conflict of interest, I really want to see that that's being done.

And I see on the Planning Commission that we now have -- if one of the individuals is affirmed, we would now have two union representatives on the Planning Commission. And in the past, you know, there has been this indication of not putting people in a potential of conflict. So we would have ILWU, and we would also have the Carpenters Union.

So have you discussed that, I mean, in terms of balance? Because right in the -- you know,

1	right right in the boards and commissions, it
2	says, you shall seek to maintain balance to prevent
3	conflict of interest essentially, and it says, no
4	one should engage in any business transaction or
5	activity, have a financial interest, direct or
6	indirect, which is incompatible with the discharge
7	of their official duties or which may tend to impair
8	their judgment in the performance of their official
9	duties.
10	So I'm I'm just looking at the Maui
11	Planning Commission and seeing if that analysis and
12	that past practice is now being adhered to.
13	MS. FEENSTRA: Well, that the decisions were the Mayor's
14	decision, they weren't mine, so I wouldn't be able
15	to speak on that, you know, how she came about her
16	decision.
17	However, this group can can deny her choice
18	or her selection, which is what the process, you
19	know, is for. So I I know I didn't answer your
20	question, but I did the best that I could.
21	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: No, that's okay. And I realize
22	you're new to the process, too.
23	The the information, Mr. Chair, that I
24	would like to have because obviously, we're
25	trying to and right in our charter it says.

1	geographic representation, and I know it's always
2	been a concern for Molokai, Lanai. We try to
3	represent the various geographic areas, but also
4	because of past queries that have been made about
5	people who've been appointed to boards or
6	commissions or statements that they made subsequent
7	to their being appointed to those commissions, it
8	was always my understanding that there should be
9	balance and representation throughout all spectrums,
10	both employment, geographic, and whatever.
11	So, Mr. Chair, I would like to see if we could
12	get a list of where the individuals, in what
13	district they're representing, you know. So in
14	other words, if obviously Molokai and Lanai have
15	different area, but is South Maui, you know, who is
16	the person that lives in that geographic area. And
17	I would also like to know the occupations of all of
18	the individuals who are currently on the boards
19	or at least the Maui Planning Commission.
20	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Mr. Chair?
21	CHAIR MATEO: Mr. Victorino?
22	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Yes. I'm not too happy in what I
23	just heard, but that's fine. I respect my
24	colleagues and their opinions so I'm going to give
25	you mine.

1	First of all, the person in question, the
2	young lady lives in the Kihei area, is an ILW
3	member, which we have over 8,000 of them, and I do
4	not think when people start saying because you
5	belong to a union that you cannot be on a
6	commission, especially Planning because there's two
7	union Members, excuse me.
8	The unions are our backbone. These are our
9	working people. These are the people that make what
10	Maui County is all about. And to say that they're
11	not qualified or that you have a conflict, bothers
12	me immensely.
13	And I don't care who on this Council, I will
14	challenge that any time, any place. I take that
15	very personal, and I'm not one to say much in that
16	area unless I get and I feel real strongly about it.
17	This young lady I've known for many years.
18	Has worked hard in this community. Lives in Kihei,
19	which is South Maui if I last recall. If you look
20	at the address, you would have known that.
21	But the most important thing is just because
22	you belong to a union eliminates you from any
23	commission, whether it's Planning, whether it's
24	anyone, is very appalling in my mind.
25	There's 8,000 of those members out there. And

1	in this community, we have well over 17,000 union
2	members in all the various unions. If we ever had
3	to do that, we'd eliminate getting anybody for
4	boards and commissions.
5	So I think if you've got a quandary with
6	somebody about something, let's not make it unions
7	first and foremost. If you've got a quandary about
8	our district where they live, fine. I've just
9	answered that question.
10	She's female, and I like this young lady.
11	I've known her for many years. I think she brings
12	balance in that area as a female.
13	So, Mr. Chair, I think when some Members start
14	to bring that up, I cannot sit aside and not say
15	something. I am sorry if I got a little a little
16	uptight, but I didn't I don't like those
17	comments. I don't think they're fair.
18	You got a problem with a person, that's one
19	issue; but because they're a union member, that
20	bothers me immensely because these people are our
21	people. They work hard. They make this economy go.
22	They make this whole country go, this State and this
23	County, and I will not back off of that. Thank you,
24	Mr. Chair.
25	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you thank you, Mr. Victorino.

1	Member Members, additional comments before
2	recognizing Mr. Medeiros?
3	Ms. Johnson, also, there there currently is
4	the the current list of boards and commissions
5	that are all broken down exactly by district area,
6	etc., so that is already available with the existing
7	appointments.
8	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And and that's in our binder?
9	CHAIR MATEO: I don't believe it's currently in the
10	binders, but it's been circulated to all of the
11	Members. It's been periodically updated so we do
12	have
13	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. I will try and and locate
14	that. And with regard to the comments of my fellow
15	Council Member, I think that what ends up happening
16	is on every board or commission, we try very hard to
17	strive for balance.
18	The Planning Commission has authority over
19	coastal zone management issues. It is extremely
20	important that that Board, particularly Maui
21	Planning Commission, be balanced so as not to unduly
22	represent any sector or segment.
23	There are a number of Realtors, there are a
24	number of individuals that represent construction
25	interests. I think that what we have to do, if

we're going to actually discharge our responsibility and look at balance -- and people were extremely critical of comments that were made by one of the commissioners because people thought it was prejudicial or biased.

What ends up happening is you have to go into that commission with no bias. Under past questioning, I can show you comments that were made by individuals during that particular hearing, where Council Members questioned at length because there may be a potential conflict and then the person and some of the same individuals who launch criticism at individuals who we've interviewed, they come later on and they make comments and then people say, well, they're biased or they're prejudiced.

So what I'm trying to achieve is some type of balance and equity for the public. It's not that we have every single economic sector represented on the Planning Commission. There should be balance, and there should be no predetermined, prejudicial kind of attitudes coming to that commission.

And I have not seen that, Mr. Chair, and that's why I'm asking the questions. Who is representing the average citizen, or who is adhering to coastal zone management laws. So it's about

Τ	balance.
2	And I believe that that is the point that I'm
3	making. It's not that unions are bad. It's that
4	when you have any segment on any board or commission
5	that unduly influences and fails to do a job that
6	they are charged with, which is the responsibility
7	of particularly Maui Planning Commission, you do not
8	get decisions that represent the best interest of
9	the public.
10	And I have seen far too much of that lately,
11	and it's very upsetting to me. It's just as much
12	upsetting to me that people say something in
13	interviews and then they do something entirely
14	different, and they make statements at the Planning
15	Commission that are exactly the opposite of what was
16	stated here to the Council. And that's my point,
17	not about unions or antiunion.
18	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Johnson. Members, additional
19	questions? Mr Mr. Medeiros, go ahead.
20	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chairman. Yeah, for
21	the Administration, when you get applicants
22	submitted to the Mayor's Office, does the Mayor or a
23	Mayor representative interview those applicants
24	either by phone or in person?
25	CHAIR MATEO: Ms. Feenstra?

1	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: And the reason I ask that is
2	because on your application form, there isn't a
3	section that asks how committed the applicant is to
4	attend meetings so that there would be a quorum most
5	of the time.
6	MS. FEENSTRA: If if Mayor didn't know someone and
7	needed to interview them or had questions, yes,
8	she she would call and interview.
9	What I did after she had selected her nominees
10	is I personally called each person and spoke with
11	them about the nomination, explained the process
12	that it was a nomination; however, it still needed
13	to go through Council for approval.
14	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Uh-huh.
15	MS. FEENSTRA: And at that time, I let them know how many
16	years that they needed to serve for and that we were
17	looking for people that could could serve that
18	term.
19	I also let them know what you know, how
20	many hours of service it would be a month, you know,
21	because some are a lot more than others, the amount
22	of time they would need to dedicate so that I could
23	get a clear understanding and they could have a
24	clear understanding as to what they were getting
25	themselves into, so to speak.

1	Mayor was also concerned with first-time
2	persons, you know, if the some people, the first
3	time, they want to go on the Planning Commission.
4	That's kind of a hefty goal for the first time
5	you're ever on a board or commission.
6	So but other than that, you know, I did
7	call each person to make sure, number one, they were
8	still interested and, you know, what it entailed and
9	what days that they met.
10	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. But you do that after the
11	Mayor has made her selection?
12	MS. FEENSTRA: When the Mayor notifies me of the selection,
13	then I would call them and ask them if they were
14	still interested in being nominated prior to letting
15	you folks know.
16	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: I see. Okay. I was just thinking
17	that probably the interview you spoke about that you
18	have with them on the phone would be a good
19	screening process prior to the Mayor making the
20	selection so she would have that information. So
21	just a suggestion to, you know, the Mayor's Office.
22	And the other thing is, yeah, the the
23	concern that, maybe, some people applying for boards
24	and commissions, and we certainly need a lot of them
25	to fill all the boards and commissions but you

1	know, some people may be applying without the
2	commitment to make as many meetings as they can
3	and and then, you know, we suffer not having a
4	quorum for certain meetings.
5	So if that can be expressed and impressed to
6	them, that would be helpful, I think. And, again,
7	you know, like you say, sometimes there's a concern
8	about which commissions they're applying for and
9	their background and experience in being somebody
10	qualified or capable to serve on that particular
11	commission.
12	And and just one last thing is there's
13	times when people want to get on these boards and
14	commissions because it helps their resume to put
15	that, you know, they were on the Planning or Police
16	Commission and so forth, so I just want to be sure
17	that, you know, that's there's an awareness of
18	that. Thank you. Mahalo, Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you thank you, Mr. Medeiros.
20	Mr. Hokama?
21	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Chairman, I just put forward a
22	consideration to you for to deliberate on. You
23	know, we're here to either have issues with a
24	nominee and make our decisions whether we're going
25	to disapprove or approve.

If the Committee is interested in how a name
gets selected to be sent down to this Committee for
consideration, I think that should be a separate
Committee item, okay?

And, again, we -- we also will take into account the prerogative and authority of the Mayor to have her decision or to have her nominees sent forward. That is for, to me, another day.

What we are here is to make decisions on whether or not we're going to approve or disapprove people. There's a clock running; and if we take no action, the majority or almost all appoint -- nominees will be approved. That is what we need to work on this afternoon, Mr. Chairman, and that would be my suggestion.

I would just make one request to Ms. Feenstra, as the Administrative representative, that as part of some of the comments made by Ms. Kreig, that prior to this moving to either another Committee meeting or if this moves to Council if -- if discharge is approved, that you are able to respond whether or not, one, there are issues with any County employee or government employee that's being nominated to serve on a specific board or commission and whether or not there is an issue for the

1 Committee or the Council to take upon prior and

- final decision making. That would be my request to
- 3 you, Chairman. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR MATEO: Thank -- thank you, Mr. Hokama.
- 5 Ms. Feenstra, you had understood the -- the request
- 6 by Chairman?
- 7 MS. FEENSTRA: Yes, I did.
- 8 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much. Members, additional
- 9 questions on -- on this -- this next section we're
- 10 looking to? Go ahead.
- 11 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. Chair. So are we going to
- act today, or are we going to defer this?
- 13 CHAIR MATEO: The Chair's intent will be to defer this.
- 14 The Chair would like to go through as much of the
- 15 discussion as possible at this point so when it does
- 16 get to the next stage, then we'd be able to not --
- 17 not engage the Committee discussion all over again.
- Go ahead, Ms. Anderson.
- 19 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Thank you, Chair. So, basically,
- the only person we have here to ask any questions of
- is Ms. Feenstra?
- 22 CHAIR MATEO: She's the only one from the Administration
- 23 that does the selection --
- 24 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: And -- well, what I mean is that
- 25 there's no nominees here so basically -- and -- and

she didn't interview any of them, that was done by

- 2 the Mayor if she didn't know them so -- and -- and
- it is your intent to defer this and take it up on
- 4 the Council floor on the 18th --
- 5 CHAIR MATEO: Right.
- 6 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: -- where we will then vote, is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 CHAIR MATEO: Right. Correct.
- 9 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. Then I only have one
- question for Ms. Feenstra, and that is of the 90
- rejection letters that you sent, you said you had
- 12 150 applications received prior to these
- 13 transmittals and that 90 received rejection letters.
- Were the rejection letters written in a way
- 15 that said we'll consider you in the future?
- 16 MS. FEENSTRA: Definitely and that we would keep their
- 17 application on file --
- 18 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. That's great.
- 19 MS. FEENSTRA: -- and consider them for --
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: So -- that's helpful for us, too,
- to know because if there are any nominees that don't
- 22 make the cut, so to speak, then we know that there
- are people that the Mayor could call on to fill in.
- 24 MS. FEENSTRA: I have a real fat binder of people.
- 25 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Excellent. Okay. Thank you,

1	Chair.
2	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Anderson. Additional
3	COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: And and I must say, if I can
4	just throw this in, it's encouraging to see so many
5	people applying. This is difficult no matter what
6	board you're on
7	CHAIR MATEO: Yes.
8	COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: to make this kind of personal
9	commitment of of time that in this day and age
10	many of us don't have much extra time left.
11	So I just would like to thank everyone who
12	applied and even those who didn't, you know, get on
13	the list this time. Because a lot of people have we
14	as we have seen, you know, come with good
15	intentions and then they find out it's more time
16	than they can possibly give.
17	And and it's not just going to the
18	meetings, it's doing your homework, too, so then
19	they have to resign and so it's nice to know that we
20	have people, you know, backup people that are
21	willing to serve.
22	So I just want to send out a thank you to
23	everyone who took the time to apply. And if you
24	didn't get selected this time, you know, don't be
25	disheartened because there's so many only so many

- 1 positions. So thank you, Chairman.
- 2 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Anderson. Mr. Molina?
- 3 COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Yeah. Thank you very much,
- 4 Mr. Chairman. Essentially want to keep my comments
- or question, if you will, to what's on the agenda
- 6 here today in terms of since the topic came about,
- 7 the amount of rejections for these boards and
- 8 commissions we're considering.
- 9 You -- you mentioned there was 90,
- 10 Ms. Feenstra. Can you tell us at this time which --
- 11 the majority of the applicants for these boards and
- 12 commissions were for which commission or board,
- would that be Planning? I guess probably more than
- likely for some of the very high-profile commissions
- or boards?
- 16 MS. FEENSTRA: Yeah, it was for the high-profile
- 17 commissions and boards where we only had maybe
- one -- one person or two persons --
- 19 COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Uh-huh.
- 20 MS. FEENSTRA: -- and you have a list of 20 to 30
- applicants for two positions.
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: And can you tell us at this time
- 23 which specific board or commission had the most
- 24 applicants?
- 25 MS. FEENSTRA: The high numbers are with Planning

1	Commission, Police Commission, Fire and Public
2	Safety, and Liquor Commission.
3	COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Okay. And I know it's a it's a
4	
5	MS. FEENSTRA: Those are the popular ones.
6	COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: And I know it's sort of an ironic
7	twist. We're having a tough time filling the other
8	commissions and boards, you know, but it seems like
9	the very I guess commissions that are always in
10	the news a lot, that seems to get a lot of interest.
11	But I guess for a lot of folks out there that
12	could echo that sentiment, maybe you need to try and
13	look in other at other boards and commissions,
14	sort of get your feet wet first, and then maybe you
15	could at a later point apply for some of our
16	high-profile commissions so anyway, Mr. Chair, I
17	just want to say that I'm ready for your
18	recommendation at this point. Thank you.
19	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Mr. Chair?
20	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Molina. Mr. Victorino?
21	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Yeah. And so that I understand
22	the process first and foremost, we if we defer
23	this, that means at our next Council meeting, this
24	would come out on the floor for us to decide on all
25	these various nominations. So we would have no

1	Committee work, it would come straight to the floor
2	and we'd decide on the floor?
3	CHAIR MATEO: Basically, yes.
4	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Okay. That was just so I got
5	that clear.
6	And secondly, I'll say that I, too, had
7	applied for boards and commission in fact, the
8	first one was Police, and I got rejected. But there
9	was a nice letter, said, you know, we'll keep you or
10	file and all that so I have the reject letter at
11	home so I understand how that works.
12	And the next time I applied for Planning
13	Commission, I was rejected again so, you know, I
14	know rejection. I understand that part, you know,
15	and I wasn't doing it for my resume. It was more
16	for for the purpose of serving this Community.
17	So the third time, and I guess that's the
18	charm, I got I applied for the Water Board, and I
19	made that one, see, so I guess it takes a while to
20	get selected. You know, I went through two
21	different Mayors, Lingle, as well as Apana, before I
22	got selected and that's the way life is.
23	But, you know, again, I I I know
24	everyone wants balance, you know. Everyone wants
25	geographical balance so that we don't leave any

Τ	particular region out. We want balance as far as
2	our Community is concerned, and I'm still very
3	adamant about that.
4	The balance, if you really wanted balance, it
5	would be nice to get more working people, the
6	everyday people to be on these boards and
7	commissions, but I'm going to tell you the vast
8	majority will not apply. They don't have the time.
9	They can't take four, five, six hours of their day
10	to come to a board or commission meeting.
11	And for those coming from the outlying
12	districts, whether they're Hana, Molokai, or Lanai
13	or even West Maui or South Maui, that's a trek to
14	get over here and go to these meetings. And I had
15	been to meetings, Mr. Chair, I will admit, gone to
16	meetings we had no quorum so then that's another
17	frustrating situation.
18	But all said and done, I think the balance
19	and I and I want to give one more one more
20	statement to the Mayor. I think this Administration
21	has continuously demonstrated the rationale of being
22	balanced.
23	As my colleague says, she thinks she's not
24	being balanced. Well, we all take different
25	perspectives. I believe this this Administration

1 has tried. Now, it's not always available, it's not

- 2 always possible, but I think the intent is there.
- 3 CHAIR MATEO: Uh-huh.
- 4 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: That much I'll give the
- 5 Administration that. And I am not one to guess what
- the Mayor is thinking on any one of her appointees.
- 7 I think she does a good job. And the only way
- 8 somebody can talk about who's this and who's that,
- 9 is go sit in that chair.
- 10 CHAIR MATEO: Okay.
- 11 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: And I don't want the chair, I
- tell you guys now, but go sit in that Chair and try
- to make these decision. It's not easy, so --
- 14 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Victorino.
- 15 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 16 CHAIR MATEO: Okay. Members, we still have one more group
- 17 left.
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.
- 19 CHAIR MATEO: Are there any more questions or issues on the
- 20 nominees that was read off a little bit ago?
- Hearing none, we're going to ask Staff to continue
- the third group. Ms. Revels?
- 23 MS. REVELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There have been
- 24 correspondence dated February 15th, 2008, from the
- 25 Mayor, requesting consideration of the following,

1	nominees to boards, committees, and commissions,
2	requiring Council approval or disapproval within 60
3	days, for terms expiring as indicated.
4	First, the Affirmative Action Advisory
5	Council, Karleen Hultquist, replacing Rudolpho Rudy
6	Esquer, for a term expiring on March 31st, 2010; to
7	the Cost of Government Commission, Ronald Vaught,
8	replacing Sharron Courter, for a term expiring on
9	March 31st, 2009; and lastly, to the Maui County
LO	Commission on Persons with Disabilities, John
L1	Briley, replacing Don Reaser, for a term expiring on
L2	March 31st, 2011, and Charlotte Seales, replacing
L3	Kealoha Laemoa, for a term expiring on March 31st,
L4	2012.
L5	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Revels. Members, questions or
L6	issues with any of those names, those nominees just
L7	read off by Staff? Thank you very much.
L8	Again, Members, at this point, we do know that
L9	we will be getting some communications back from
20	Ms. Feenstra regarding some of the issues that was
21	raised by the Members this afternoon.
22	Once again, the I I indicated the
23	Chair's direction early on in the meeting. And at
24	this point, Members, if there's no objections, the
25	Chair would like to defer Policy Item 2(10).

1 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: No objections.

- 2 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Chairman?
- 3 CHAIR MATEO: Mr. Hokama?
- 4 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Before you defer, I would just state
- 5 that I believe there are some very excellent
- 6 nominees. On the last list, I have had the
- 7 privilege of working with Dr. John Briley on the
- 8 Imua Board, the nonprofit board.
- 9 I appreciate Dr. Briley's continued
- 10 willingness to volunteer. He's a very, very busy
- 11 man. He -- his -- his volunteerism for our youth,
- 12 particularly our pre-elementary school-aged children
- is very, very commendable. I would like to, at this
- time, express my support and thanks to Dr. Briley
- for his continued service to the community.
- 16 And since more than likely, and I -- I can
- tell the Members it has been posted for
- 18 consideration of discharge of this Committee's
- responsibility that will be on the next Council's
- 20 agenda so I'll make my statements now, Mr. Chairman,
- very -- very short and concise.
- The concern about who is nominated for various
- boards and commission, Mr. Chairman, if you will
- 24 note, it is -- many of the requirements and
- 25 parameters are by either County, Maui County Code

through an ordinance approval by Council or by the Charter of what types of disciplines, what types of specific requirements a specific board or commission requires for participation. And that is in our Code or in our Charter.

Those things definitely comes into consideration before the Mayor or Administration can send a name down for a nominee. They must be in compliance with those already specific policies set by the people through the Charter or by the Council through its ordinances. Those, I believe, can be verified by our Staff with no -- with no problems.

I would also state, Mr. Chairman, that some of the other considerations that I would hope that maybe eventually we might want to take up is, again, the options of how we can conduct our boards and commission meetings.

I believe Corp. Counsel did make a comment about videoconferencing, but there are issues with that, too, particularly if you lose the video portion of the videoconferencing and whether or not you can still continue to conduct a meeting and eventually even make decision making.

So those -- those are things that I believe
Mr. Pontanilla brought up that has merit. We should

consider how to increase participation in our

County's residents, particularly from our rural or

outlying sister districts, because we do have people

who want to participate and, again, part of the

issue is not necessarily (inaudible). It's the time

of the meeting and the day of the meeting.

And I know we try to schedule well with Council's standing committees because Corporation Counsel cannot be at two or three places at once, nor our planners can be at two, three places at once or -- or various Staff requirements of the various departments.

I would think that maybe one of the ways that
I would ask -- and -- and I bring it up under this
discussion at this time, Chairman, before the
deferral is that it may be beneficial and for us to
budget appropriately, and that can come under
Mr. Pontanilla's budget review, is to place
sufficient funds where the boards and commissions
would be required to go to the various different
regions either once a year or once a term so that a
board or variance meeting may be held on Molokai
within a two-year term. A commission on disability
will come to Lanai within a two-year term so that
actual meetings of our various decision-making

1	boards and commissions can go throughout the County
2	and get maybe more public participation, as well as
3	input on how it directly relates to specific regions
4	of our various of our various diverse
5	communities.
6	That is just suggestions I offer now, and I
7	thank the Members for allowing me to state this
8	because more than likely, I'll be listening to all
9	of your comments during the Council meeting. Thank
10	you, Chair.
11	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much, Mr. Hokama. Members
12	go ahead, Ms. Johnson.
13	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Yes. I I did get a copy in the
14	interim of the listing for the Planning Commission,
15	and there is no space for the occupation of the
16	individuals.
17	But, you know, I pretty much know what the
18	individuals do, but I noted that there are three
19	people you know, assuming that the two nominees
20	move forward, there would be three people from Paia,
21	two from Wailuku, one from Kihei, one from Kula, and
22	one from Hana. So that is, you know, what I'm
23	talking about and you know, in terms of balance.
24	And the other thing, we have one, two, three,
25	four, five, six people that are either have been

- involved, are currently involved, or their
- 2 occupation is such that they would be involved in
- 3 development or construction. So that's what I'm
- 4 talking about when I'm talking about balance.
- 5 CHAIR MATEO: Okay.
- 6 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Johnson. Ms. Baisa?
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER BAISA: Thank you, Chair. I may be confused,
- 9 but on the bottom of one of the nominees, the
- applications, there is a note that says he is from
- 11 Spreckelsville, and Spreckelville -- Spreckelsville
- is a part of the Kahului Community Plan area. So I
- think we need to fix that a little bit.
- 14 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Point taken. Okay.
- 15 Additional -- additional comments? Hearing none,
- thank you very much, Members.
- 17 Once again, the Chair, if there's no
- 18 objections again, will defer Policy Item 2(10). Any
- 19 objections?
- 20 COUNCIL MEMBERS VOICED NO OBJECTIONS.
- 21 ACTION: DEFER pending further discussion.
- 22 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you.
- 23 ITEM NO. 4(1): INDEMNIFICATION AUTHORIZATION (LOTHAR KUSTER V. MICHAEL W. FOLEY ET AL., CIVIL
- 24 NO. CV 07-00264 SOM BMK)(C.C. NO. 07-9)
- 25 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much, Members. We're going to

1	go ahead and move on with the rest of the agenda,
2	Members.
3	The next item on our agenda is Policy $4(1)$ .
4	It's Indemnification Authorization Lothar Kuster
5	versus Michael W. Foley et al., Civil No. CV
6	07-002664.
7	Corporation Counsel on February 29, 2008,
8	transmitted for consideration a proposed resolution
9	entitled Indemnifying Tammy Osurman in Lothar Kuster
10	versus Michael W. Foley.
11	The complaint alleges violations of
12	Plaintiff's rights under 42 United States
13	Constitution 1983 and the 4th, 5th, and 14th
14	Amendments to the U.S. Constitution relating to his
15	4.5-acre property in Haiku, Maui.
16	The purpose of the proposed resolution is to
17	indemnify Tamara Osurman, a Maui County Zoning
18	Inspector, in this case.
19	The Deputy Corporation Counsel with us this
20	afternoon and who will be providing review and
21	and additional information on this case is Deputy
22	Corporation Counsel Benny D'Enbeau.
23	And, Members, there is a request for Executive
24	Session. And just as a reminder, the additional two
25	items also listed on this agenda also comes with a

1	request for Executive Session as well.
2	At this point, the Chair will ask Ms. D'Enbeau
3	to provide us with your your opening statements.
4	Ms. D'Enbeau?
5	MS. D'ENBEAU: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Members. This case is
6	on is an ongoing litigation that we met with you
7	last year when you indemnified the other Defendants
8	in the case.
9	And just to refresh your recollections, it
10	involves an allegation that the the named
11	Defendants who are Michael Foley, the former
12	Planning Director, Jay Arakawa, Charles Villalon,
13	and Ty Fukuroku. Charles Villalon and Jay Arakawa
14	are are zoning inspectors, and Ty Fukuroku works
15	for Public Works. He's an engineer who inspects
16	grading violations.
17	The the reason for bringing Ms. Osurman
18	before you today for indemnification is originally
19	when the complaint was filed, as is permitted under
20	the rules, if the Plaintiff doesn't know the actual
21	identity of a person but is aware that there's
22	someone that they want to bring a lawsuit against,
23	they bring them as a Jane Doe.
24	And in this allegation, there was an
25	allegation excuse me, in this complaint, there

1 was an allegation that there was a woman inspector who was involved, and they didn't know her identity 2 so she was identified as Jane Doe. 3 4 And subsequently, the Plaintiffs then indicated that that individual was Tammy Osurman and 5 6 want to name her specifically so she would -- for 7 that reason, we're bringing forward the motion -- I mean, excuse me, the request for indemnification for 8 Ms. Osurman. She is also a zoning inspector working 10 at the Planning Department. This case involves, as you may recall, the 11 12 allegations arose from an inspection that 13 Mr. Fukuroku did on the Plaintiff's property out in 14 Huelo area, Waipio Stream area. 15 The Plaintiff alleges that Mr. Fukuroku went 16 on to his property without permission. It's sort of 17 an open, rural area. Mr. Fukuroku responded that he believed he was on the neighbor's property. If he 18 19 crossed into Mr. Kuster's property, he was unaware 20 of that. Mr. Kuster then has alleged that because of --21 22 Mr. Kuster called the police, excuse me, and has now 23 alleged that because of his calling the police, that 24 basically these County people are kind of out to get

him and not processing his SMA assessment

25

application with enough speed.

- 2 So that's -- that's essentially what the lawsuit is about. And today, again, it's -- our 3 4 request is to indemnify the female inspector --5 excuse me, Tamara Osurman, who they intend to -- to name in their lawsuit, that you replace Jane Doe 6 7 with Tammy Osurman's name. 8 So I don't necessarily think there's a need for an Executive Session unless there's other 10 information that the Council Members --11 CHAIR MATEO: Okav. 12 MS. D'ENBEAU: -- need. 13 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much, Ms. D'Enbeau. Members, 14 questions for Deputy Corporation Counsel? Is -- Chair will ask whether there is a need 15
- 18 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No.

for indemnification?

1

16

17

19 CHAIR MATEO: Fine. Great. Members -- Members, then if
20 there's no questions for Corporation Counsel and
21 there's no need to go into Executive Session, the
22 Chair would recommend the adoption of the resolution
23 entitled Indemnifying Tamara Osurman in Luther -- or
24 Lothar Kuster versus Michael W. Foley et al., Civil
25 No. 07-00264.

for us to go into Executive Session for this request

- 1 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved.
- 2 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Second.
- 3 CHAIR MATEO: It's been moved by Mr. Victorino, second by
- 4 Mr. Hokama. Any additional discussion? Seeing
- 5 none, all those in favor, signify by saying "aye."
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
- 7 CHAIR MATEO: Opposed?
- 8 VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Anderson, Baisa,

Medeiros, Molina, Pontanilla, Victorino,

- 9 Vice-Chair Hokama, and Chair Mateo.
- 10 NOES: None.
- 11 ABSTAIN: None.
- 12 ABSENT: None.
- 13 EXC.: Councilmember Johnson.
- 14 MOTION CARRIED.
- 15 ACTION: Recommending ADOPTION of resolution.
- 16 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much. Motion is carried.
- 17 ITEM NO. 1(18): SETTLEMENT AUTHORIZATION OF CLAIMS AND

LAWSUITS (HANOHANO, LLC V. COUNTY OF

MAUI, ET AL., CIVIL NO. 06-1-0148(1))

 $\overline{(\text{C.C. No. }07-11)}$ 

19

- 20 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much, Ms. D'Enbeau. We're
- going to move right on to Policy No. 1(8),
- 22 Settlement Authorization of Claims and Lawsuits,
- Hanohano, LLC versus County of Maui, et al., Civil
- 24 No. 06-1-0148(1).
- This is a request for settlement in an alleged

1	complaint of declaratory, injunctive, and other
2	relief stemming from a County zoning decision
3	affecting lands compromising the Pukalani
4	residential community owned by class Plaintiffs,
5	including two parcels of land owned by Plaintiff
6	Kaho Hoohano
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hanohano.
8	CHAIR MATEO: Hanohano, LLC, comprising approximately of
9	28.695 acres situated at Keahua, Kula, Hawaii.
10	Corporation Counsel is requesting
11	consideration of this settlement, as the offer to
12	settle this case will expire on April 1st, 2008.
13	Further, trial in this matter is set for June 30,
14	2008.
15	The Chair will at this time allow Deputy
16	Corporation Counsel D'Enbeau to provide opening
17	comments and additional informations regarding this
18	matter. Ms. D'Enbeau?
19	MS. D'ENBEAU: Thank you. The Hanohano case, as as it's
20	been briefly alluded to, involves a proposed
21	subdivision in Pukalani.
22	The issue, essentially, is a very old
23	ordinance that was passed by the Board of
24	Supervisors zoning this particular property for
25	Residential use.

1	At the time that ordinance was passed, the
2	State had designated the land Agriculture so we had
3	an Agricultural designation by the State, and it
4	it all happened within a few months of of of
5	each other, and no one's quite clear this
6	happened in the '60s exactly why the Board of
7	Supervisors, as it as it then was, passed an
8	ordinance denoting this property as as
9	residentially zoned, when in fact it was designated
10	Agriculture by the State.
11	From the research I've been able to do, I
12	think it was probably an inadvertence. The the
13	bill came to the Board of Supervisors and then was
14	referred back to the Commission Planning
15	Commission; and in the interim, the State, for the
16	first time, did these designations, these Land Use
17	designations that we're all so familiar with now,
18	but they were just that was the beginning of it.
19	So I'm assuming that what happened was no one

So I'm assuming that what happened was no one was quite familiar with that process and somehow it -- it slipped through the cracks. And the County has taken the position over the years that the ordinance, itself, was invalid; and, therefore, the property should be deemed Interim rather than residentially zoned.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	In other words, to say it again, there is an
2	ordinance that was passed, and this particular
3	property up in Pukalani, the ordinance it's
4	usually referred to as Map 10. It was a zoning
5	ordinance map, Map 10, that designated various parts
6	of what we call the Pukalani area for Residential
7	and some for Business use and so forth.
8	This particular land that belongs to Hanohano,
9	LLC, was designated for Residential use in this Map
10	10 zoning. Subsequently, it was realized that at
11	the time Map 10 was passed, the the State had
12	designated the land Agriculture; and, therefore,
13	there was a conflict.
14	And the opinion of Corporation Counsel was
15	that the conflict created a situation where the
16	zoning ordinance itself was invalid; therefore,
17	there was no no valid zoning ordinance, and it
18	kicked back to Interim.
19	And that that situation's continued for
20	property in the Pukalani area and also for property
21	in other parts of the island, specifically Makena,
22	and I think there's some other areas, too.
23	Hanohano filed a lawsuit, and Judge August has
24	ruled that the County, Corporation Counsel's
25	interpretation was incorrect and that the ordinance

1	was not invalid when it was passed, that you would
2	first look at whether it was a valid ordinance or
3	not when the use was actually requested, in other
4	words when the subdivision application was being
5	processed or whatever use you were requesting.
6	By that time, Hanohano had sought and received
7	from the Land Use Commission a change in
8	designation. I know this is really confusing, but
9	in any case, so now what the judge said is, all
10	right, back then, you had these two different things
11	that seem inconsistent, but no use had been
12	requested. Everything was just sitting there.
13	By the time Hanohano came in to ask for their
14	subdivision and so forth, they also went to the Land
15	Use Commission and so the Land Use Commission
16	changed it to Urban and so at this point in time,
17	there's no conflict; and, therefore, in the judge's
18	view, this earlier ordinance way back in the '60s
19	the Board of Supervisors passed should be considered
20	valid.
21	So that's what's happened on the public
22	records so far, and there is a proposal by Hanohano
23	to settle this case, which I'd like to discuss in
24	Executive Session.
25	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Members, question for Corporation

1 Counsel at this point? Mr. Hokama, followed by

- 2 Ms. Anderson.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Is this part of the famous stick 'em
- 4 attitude and approach of the Planning Department?
- 5 MS. D'ENBEAU: I'm sorry? The what approach?
- 6 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: I call it the stick 'em. You see this
- 7 the yellow sheet of paper?
- 8 MS. D'ENBEAU: Oh.
- 9 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: How the Planning Department did a stick
- 'em and says by their own version of zoning say now
- 11 your zoning has changed from Residential to Interim
- or whether it be from Resort to Interim, is this
- part of what I call the stick 'em approach of the
- 14 Planning Department?
- 15 CHAIR MATEO: Ms. D'Enbeau?
- 16 MS. D'ENBEAU: Yes.
- 17 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Okay, that answers --
- 18 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: So -- so Ms. D'Enbeau -- and, again,
- 19 you need to tell me if you cannot answer and that it
- 20 needs to be dealt with in Executive Session, but why
- 21 was Council never informed of this cavalier attitude
- of the Planning Department, thinking that they could
- 23 administratively change zoning without coming back
- to the Council, which is the legislative branch of
- 25 the County of Maui?

1 MS. D'ENBEAU: I -- I don't know why the Council was never

- informed, I'm sorry.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Which is interesting again because the
- 4 Department then, from that point on, made people
- 5 believe that they could make those zoning changes
- 6 arbitrarily and administratively. And we've been
- 7 taken to court. The County has been taken to court
- 8 --
- 9 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: And lose.
- 10 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: -- on this cavalier attitude of our
- 11 Administrative Department.
- 12 Under what basis did those planners feel they
- had the authority to do such --
- 14 MS. D'ENBEAU: I think it was based --
- 15 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: -- change of designation pleas?
- 16 MS. D'ENBEAU: I believe it was based on opinions from the
- 17 Office of Corporation Counsel.
- 18 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: And were those opinions by Corporation
- 19 Counsel sent to the Council also for the Council's
- 20 understanding or edification?
- 21 MS. D'ENBEAU: I do believe that -- this has been going on
- for a number of years, and I do believe that there
- 23 were earlier issues that came up where those
- opinions, I do think, are part of various Council
- agenda.

1	I think I've I can't remember the exact
2	year the first opinions, but may have been in the
3	early '90s, and I think that it came up in I
4	think so I can't I can't swear to that, but I do
5	believe that at various times, this opinion was a
6	part of the Council agenda, Council records.
7	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: I hope those attorneys are not working
8	for us now, are they? Because I would be very
9	disappointed if they are.
10	My other question is, is this a class action
11	settlement? Because or are we going to be
12	brought up by another property owner under similar
13	circumstances again?
14	MS. D'ENBEAU: It's not a class action settlement. It just
15	has to do with with Hanohano.
16	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: So you mean to tell me that all these
17	other stick 'em designations on other TMKs or or
18	real property tax maps still going to exist and
19	still have the same situation that wouldn't be
20	resolved?
21	MS. D'ENBEAU: Well, if it's okay, I'd rather discuss that
22	in Executive Session.
23	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Okay, Chairman, because I'm ready to
24	hang this Department upside down. Thank you,
25	Chairman

1	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Hokama. Ms. Anderson?
2	COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Thank you, Chair. You know, we
3	all got a good laugh out of the description Chair
4	Hokama used, stick 'em policy, but yeah, ha, ha,
5	it's funny, but it's not so funny because you're
6	dealing with people's livelihoods, their life
7	investments, whatever.
8	And it's not a matter of the Council being
9	informed when the Planning Department discovers an
10	error or corrects a previously invalid
11	interpretation or whatever. It's not a matter of
12	informing the Council.
13	The Council is the only body with the
14	authority to change zoning for whatever reason, so
15	if they discover somebody misinterpreted a map line
16	or somebody misinterpreted whatever. I mean, I've
17	heard, you know, five or six different excuses as to
18	why zoning has been changed administratively.
19	And the last example we have, Members, is Maui
20	Meadows, which included also some parcels Upcountry
21	that were administratively changed to Urban and were
22	allowed to have design standards, build building
23	standards from the old building Code that hasn't
24	been in effect since we adopted the comprehensive
25	zoning ordinance, yet they were allowed to build

under those standards because someone in the
Planning Department decided that an error had been
made.

Well, the error, you know, was in existence for a good 20 years, as far as the people in Maui Meadows were concerned, so how come all of a sudden somebody decided this was an error that needed to be corrected administratively, which then put all those preexisting owners at risk because they always thought they were -- they had rural standards, rural setbacks, rural building heights.

So we corrected that. The Council corrected that in cooperation with the Administration in adopting an ordinance to make it perfectly clear that these lands are Rural, R-U-R-A-L, not RU-1 or RU-.05. I mean, the excuses and the semantics that are used are unbelievable.

So I think that it's time, here we are being sued once again, that maybe we send a letter,

Mr. Chairman, to the Planning Department, asking them to inform the Council of all those zoning categories that may have been changed administratively to correct an error or -- well, let's just leave it at that, to correct an error or to correct an invalid interpretation so that we're

informed at least of that and that maybe we could request that all those problems be brought forward to the Council so we can deal with it up front, rather than waiting for it to be, you know, a crisis situation.

Because I, myself, happen to live in Maui
Meadows so it's very convenient for me to go and see
what's happened. But lots that people have bought
with the idea of someday retiring that had perfectly
good view planes to the ocean, they now have, you
know, 20 foot of fill as a next door neighbor
because someone in the Planning Department decided
they needed to correct an error.

So, you know, that's all open in -- open to interpretation and rather go back and try to find who really was in error, we just corrected it.

But I think we need to address this in its totality because as the Chair said, you know, the stick 'em policy is not a valid action that -- the Planning Department has no authority to change any of our zoning maps in any way, shape, or form.

And if there's an error, bring it to the Council and tell us it's an error and let us help them correct it. But all this after-the-fact stuff is what really gets us in trouble, gets property

1	owners in trouble, and and and really steps on
2	people who have preexisting rights. And so what do
3	they have to do, go hire an attorney? It's just not
4	a fair way to go about zoning.
5	So I would hope, Mr. Chair, that maybe we
6	could address this proactively, rather than reacting
7	individually as each one of these issues come up.
8	Because I'm sure all they have to do is look at the
9	map and see all the yellow stickers.
10	And then I had one other question.
11	Ms. D'Enbeau, do you know what the Community Plan
12	designation was of this property? And, you know,
13	maybe back then originally there wasn't Community
14	Plan designations; but once it did get designated,
15	do you know what it was?
16	MS. D'ENBEAU: For the Hanohano property?
17	COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Yes, please.
18	MS. D'ENBEAU: I'm sorry, I don't have that information
19	with me. I I I'm assuming Multi-Family, but
20	that would just be an assumption.
21	I I don't recall that Mr that the
22	Hanohano, LLC, which is Mr. Dowling's company, that
23	I I don't recall them coming in for a Community
24	Plan Amendment or looking at the the the
25	necessity to do that. I'm sorry, did I say

1 Multi-Family? I didn't mean that. I meant

- 2 Residential, excuse me.
- 3 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Oh, good.
- 4 MS. D'ENBEAU: Not Multi-Family.
- 5 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Yeah. Well, I think that's
- 6 something we should know.
- 7 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Community Plan, it says Single-Family.
- 8 Show it right here.
- 9 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Oh, Community Plan says
- 10 Single-Family. Chair says it's --
- 11 MS. D'ENBEAU: Oh, you've got it. Okay.
- 12 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Okay, thank you. Thank you,
- 13 Chair.
- 14 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Anderson. Members, additional
- 15 questions for Corporation Counsel at this -- at this
- 16 time?
- 17 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: One question, please, Chairman?
- 18 CHAIR MATEO: Go ahead, Mr. Hokama.
- 19 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Unless there's others that you wish to
- 20 recognize first.
- 21 CHAIR MATEO: Go ahead.
- 22 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Thank you, Chairman. Corporation --
- 23 Ms. D'Enbeau, would you know or have information
- 24 that you could share with us with -- with this stick
- 'em approach, did Real Property Tax Division of the

1	Department of Finance then make a change in real
2	property assessment and under what tax category the
3	property owner was then paying taxes on because of
4	this administrative change? Or was there no change
5	in what was being asked of the property owner to pay
6	in taxes?
7	Because I'm assuming, if you follow the
8	Planning Department's attitude, he should have been
9	paying us Agricultural property tax instead of Urban
10	and Residential property tax.
11	MS. D'ENBEAU: I'm sorry, I don't know the answer to that
12	question.
13	VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Okay. Thank you very much.
14	CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Hokama. Members, we have a
15	request for Executive Executive Session if
16	there's no more questions for Deputy Corporation
17	Counsel, Members, with reference to Policy Item No.
18	1(18), pursuant to Section 92-5(a)(4), Hawaii
19	Revised Statutes, to consult with legal counsel on
20	questions and issues pertaining to the powers,
21	duties, immunities, and liabilities of the County,
22	the Council, and the Committee.
23	The Chair now entertains a motion for
24	Executive Session.
25	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved.

- 1 COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Second.
- 2 CHAIR MATEO: Been moved by Mr. Victorino, second by
- 3 Mr. Molina. Additional discussion, Members? Seeing
- 4 --
- 5 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Chair?
- 6 CHAIR MATEO: Ms. Anderson, go ahead.
- 7 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Yeah, if I just could ask one
- 8 quick question before we go into Executive Session?
- 9 CHAIR MATEO: Go right ahead.
- 10 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Ms. D'Enbeau, I'm looking at a
- 11 confirmation request zoning SMA flood zone
- 12 confirmation request form; and as best as I can tell
- by the writing, which I really can't tell, it looks
- like March 24th, '08, but I -- it doesn't seem like
- 15 that could be right.
- 16 But the zoning information they say State Land
- 17 Use is Ag, County zoning R-3 Residential, Community
- 18 Plan Single-Family. State Land Use designation to
- 19 Urban still pending, approval expected in March '05,
- so this date I'm reading wrong.
- 21 So did you say that they did get State
- 22 District Boundary changes to Urban?
- 23 MS. D'ENBEAU: Yes, they did.
- 24 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. Thank you,
- 25 Chair.

1 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Anderson. Members, Chair will

- 2 call for the question. All those in favor of the
- 3 motion, please signify by saying "aye."
- 4 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
- 5 CHAIR MATEO: Those opposed?
- 6 VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Anderson, Baisa, Medeiros,

Molina, Pontanilla, Victorino, Vice-Chair

- 7 Hokama, and Chair Mateo.
- 8 NOES: None.
- 9 ABSTAIN: None.
- 10 ABSENT: None.
- 11 EXC.: Councilmember Johnson.
- 12 MOTION CARRIED.
- 13 ACTION: APPROVE; RECESS Open Session and CONVENE

Executive Session.

- 15 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much. We'll be going into
- 16 Executive Session.
- 17 Members, the Chair asks for your indulgence.
- 18 We have one more item on the agenda that is also
- 19 requesting Executive Session. The Chair would like
- to hear that item first so we can go into Executive
- 21 Session altogether with -- with the next motion. If
- there's no objection --
- 23 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections.
- 24 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you.
- 25 ITEM NO. 1(19): SETTLEMENT AUTHORIZATION OF CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS (TROY MATTOS, ET AL. V. DARREN

1	AGARANO, ET AL., CIVIL NO. 07-00220 DAE BMK)(C.C. No. 07-11)
2	
3	CHAIR MATEO: Members, we're going to proceed. Policy Item
4	1(19), Settlement Authorization of Claims and
5	Lawsuits. This is the Troy Mattos, et al., versus
6	V. Darren Agarano, et al., Civil No. 07-00220.
7	Members, by communication dated February 28,
8	2008, Deputy Corporation Counsel Martin is
9	requesting Executive Session to consider the
LO	settlement of this case.
L1	As a reminder, the complaint alleges general,
L2	special, and punitive damages arising out of
L3	violation of Plaintiffs' rights under 42, U.S.C.,
L4	1983, and the 4th, 5th, 8th, 9th, and 14th Amendment
L5	of the U.S. Constitution, and the attached
L6	resolution is to authorize settlement.
L7	With us this afternoon we have both
L8	Corporation Counsels Ms. Martin and Ms. Lutey this
L9	afternoon. They will be providing us with comment
20	and additional information, and the Chair will turn
21	over this time to Ms. Martin at this point.
22	MS. MARTIN: Thank you, Chairman Mateo. On Wednesday,
23	August 23rd, 2006, Jayzel Mattos' daughter called
24	911 to report that her parents were in a physical
25	fight.

1	When the officers arrived at the residence,
2	they observed Troy Mattos outside drinking beer, and
3	he appeared intoxicated. Mr. Mattos initially
4	denied any problems at the residence; however, he
5	eventually admitted to getting into an argument with
6	his wife.
7	Police refused to leave until they could talk
8	to Jayzel. Mr. Mattos entered the residence to get
9	his wife. Concerned for the safety of Troy Mattos'
10	wife and children, as well as the police, Officer
11	Agarano opened the door and stepped into the
12	doorway.
13	Shortly after Officer Agarano opened the door,
14	Troy Mattos walked out of the hallway with Jayzel
15	behind him. Immediately upon observing Officer
16	Agarano in the doorway, Mr. Mattos began screaming,
17	get out of my house, you have no right to be in my
18	house.
19	Officer Agarano attempted to calm Mr. Mattos
20	and informed him that the police had the right to
21	enter the residence and offered to speak to Jayzel
22	outside. Mr. Mattos remained aggressive and
23	continued to scream and use profanity.
24	Mr. Mattos was then informed he was under
25	arrest. Jayzel immediately moved in front of her

1 husband and attempted to prevent the arrest of Troy. 2 Police repeatedly asked Jayzel to move out of the way so that her husband could be handcuffed, but she 3 4 refused. 5 Officer Aikala told Jayzel to move away or --6 or he would Tase her. Jayzel responded, go ahead 7 and Tase me. Officer Aikala attempted to pull her away from her husband, and Jayzel stepped forward 8 and pushed Officer Aikala in the chest. 10 Officer Aikala then stepped away and deployed 11 his Taser. Plaintiffs allege that Jayzel never 12 tried to prevent the arrest of her husband or that 13 she was given any warning that she would be Tased. 14 Jayzel Mattos claims that although her hand did touch Officer Aikala prior to being Tased, she 15 16 only raised her hand in order to prevent Officer 17 Aikala from bumping into her. Plaintiffs also deny that they had a physical 18 19 fight or that things were thrown around. 20 allege that they simply had an argument and the house was messy because they were in the process of 21 22 moving.

Recently, Federal Court Judge David Ezra ruled

that the police lawfully entered the residence and

arrested the Plaintiffs; however, he reserved -- he

23

24

25

1 ruled that it was a question of fact for the jury as

- 2 to whether the use of the Taser constituted
- 3 excessive force.
- 4 Shortly after Judge Ezra issued his order,
- 5 Plaintiffs made a settlement offer. I -- I am
- 6 requesting Executive Session in order to discuss the
- 7 Plaintiffs' settlement offer, as well as personal
- 8 issues and legal strategy.
- 9 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Ms. Martin. Members, the floor
- open for questions for Corporation Counsel.
- 11 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Question.
- 12 CHAIR MATEO: Mr. Hokama?
- 13 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Can you tell us in open session
- 14 whether -- who has custody of the children?
- 15 CHAIR MATEO: Ms. Martin?
- 16 MS. MARTIN: Jayzel and Troy Mattos.
- 17 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Was the children ever put under State
- 18 supervision or -- or -- or outside of the immediate
- 19 parents?
- 20 MS. MARTIN: Not that I'm aware of.
- 21 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Has there been any other issues
- 22 regarding the care or conditions of the seven
- children?
- 24 MS. MARTIN: I believe during a prior divorce proceeding
- 25 there was an issue raised but not brought by the

- 1 State or anything like that.
- 2 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Okay. So at this point in time, you
- 3 can assure us that the welfare of the seven children
- 4 have been appropriately addressed?
- 5 CHAIR MATEO: Ms. Martin?
- 6 MS. MARTIN: I -- I really don't have any information
- 7 specific to that. I -- I'm unaware of anything that
- 8 would say that they are not cared for.
- 9 VICE-CHAIR HOKAMA: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 10 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you, Mr. Hokama. Members, additional
- 11 questions for Corporation Counsel?
- 12 The Chair would also like to recognize the
- 13 attendance of Deputy Police -- Police Chief Yabuta
- present with us this afternoon as well.
- 15 Members, there is the request from Corporation
- 16 Counsel for Executive Session with reference to
- 17 Policy Item No. 1(19) pursuant to Section
- 18 92-5(a)(4), Hawaii Revised Statutes, to consult with
- legal counsel on questions and issues pertaining to
- 20 powers, duties, privileges, immunities, and
- liabilities of the County, the Council, and the
- Committee; and Section 92-5(a)(8), Hawaii Revised
- 23 Statutes, to deliberate or make a decision upon a
- 24 matter that requires the consideration of
- 25 information that must be kept confidential pursuant

1 to a State or Federal law or a court order. The

- 2 Chair, at this time, will entertain a motion for
- 3 Executive Session.
- 4 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved.
- 5 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Second.
- 6 CHAIR MATEO: It's been moved by Mr. Victorino, second by
- 7 Mr. Medeiros. Additional discussion? Hearing none,
- 8 Members, call for the question. All those in favor
- 9 of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
- 11 CHAIR MATEO: Those opposed?
- 12 VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Anderson, Baisa, Medeiros,
- Molina, Pontanilla, Victorino, Vice-Chair
- Hokama, and Chair Mateo.
- None.
- 15 ABSTAIN: None.
- 16 ABSENT: None.
- 17 EXC.: Councilmember Johnson.
- 18 MOTION CARRIED.
- 19 ACTION: APPROVE; RECESS Open Session and CONVENE

Executive Session.

- 21 CHAIR MATEO: Motion is carried, Members. Thank you very
- 22 much. We will be going into Executive Session at
- 23 this point. The Chair will provide the afternoon
- 24 break so we can set up for the -- for the next
- 25 Executive Session. We will be convening at 25 after

POL 3/11/08 85

- 2 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: 3:30. 3 CHAIR MATEO: Twenty-five after 3:00. 4 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: After 3:00, 3:30. CHAIR MATEO: Policy Committee stands in recess. 5 6 ...(gavel)... 7 RECESS: 3:22 p.m.

3:00.

- 8 RECONVENE: 5:07 p.m.
- 9 CHAIR MATEO: ...(gavel)... Meeting of the Policy Committee
- 10 for March 11, 2008, will reconvene. Members, thank
- 11 you for that quick break.
- 12 We are addressing at this point, Members,
- 13 Policy Item 1(18), Settlement Authorization of
- 14 Claims and Lawsuits...the Hanohano, LLC versus
- County of Maui, et al., Civil Number 06-1-0148(1). 15
- 16 ITEM NO 1(18): SETTLEMENT AUTHORIZATION OF CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS (HANOHANO, LLC V. COUNTY OF MAUI, ET AL., CIVIL NO. 17  $\overline{06-1-0148(1)}$ )(C.C. No. 07-11)

18

1

- CHAIR MATEO: Members, are there any questions for Deputy 19
- 20 Corporation Counsel D'Enbeau at this time?
- Hearing none, Members, the Chair would 21
- 22 recommend the adoption of the resolution, entitled
- 23 Authorizing Settlement of Hanohano, LLC versus
- 24 County of Maui, et al., Civil Number 06-1-0148(1),
- 25 under the terms set forth in the executive meeting

1 before the Policy Committee on March 11, 2008.

- 2 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: So moved.
- 3 COUNCILMEMBER MOLINA: Second.
- 4 CHAIR MATEO: Been moved by Mr. Pontanilla, second by
- 5 Mr. Molina. Additional discussion, Mr. Pontanilla?
- 6 COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: No, sir.
- 7 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you. Members, call for the question.
- 8 All those in favor of the motion, please signify by
- 9 saying "aye."
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
- 11 CHAIR MATEO: Those opposed? Motion is carried.
- 12 VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Anderson, Baisa, Medeiros,
- Molina, Pontanilla, Victorino, and Chair
- Mateo.
- None.
- 15 ABSTAIN: None.
- 16 ABSENT: None.
- 17 EXC.: Councilmember Johnson and Vice-Chair
  - Hokama.
- MOTION CARRIED.

18

- 19
- ACTION: Recommending ADOPTION of resolution.
- 21 CHAIR MATEO: Thank you very much, Members. We're gonna
- 22 move on to the last item on the agenda. That is
- 23 POL-1(19)...1(19), Settlement Authorization of
- 24 Claims and Lawsuit, this is the Troy Mattos, et al.
- versus Darren Agarano case.

1	ITEM NO. 1(19): SETTLEMENT AUTHORIZATION OF CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS (TROY MATTOS, ET AL. V. DARREN
2	AGARANO, ET AL., CIVIL NO. 07-00220 DAE BMK) (C.C. No. 07-11)
3	, (
4	CHAIR MATEO: Members, are there any questions for Deputy
5	Corporation Counsel members either Martin or Lutey?
6	Hear
7	COUNCILMEMBER PONTANILLA: No.
8	CHAIR MATEO: Hearing none, Members, the Chair recommends
9	the adoption of the proposed resolution authorizing
10	settlement of Troy Mattos, et al. versus Darren
11	Agarano, et al., Civil Number 07-00220 under the
12	terms set forth in the executive meeting before the
13	Policy Committee on March 11, 2008.
14	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved.
15	COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Second.
16	CHAIR MATEO: Been moved by Mr. Victorino, second by
17	Mr. Medeiros. Mr. Victorino, any discussion?
18	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: No, no discussion, Mr. Chair.
19	CHAIR MATEO: Hearing none, Members, we'll call for the
20	question. All those in favor of the motion, signify
21	by saying "aye."
22	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
23	CHAIR MATEO: Those opposed? Members, thank you very much
24	motion is carried.
25	

1	VOTE:	AYES:	Councilmembers Anderson, Baisa, Medeiros, Molina, Pontanilla, Victorino, and Chair		
2			Mateo.		
3		NOES:	None.		
4		ABSTAIN:	None.		
5		ABSENT:	None.		
6		EXC.:	Councilmember Johnson and Vice-Chair Hokama.		
7	MOTION CARRIED.				
8 9		ACTION:	Recommending ADOPTION of resolution.		
10	CHAIR	MATEO: This	s concludes our business for this very		
11		long day.	I thank you all very much for your		
12		patience	especially since we had an excellent		
13		meeting thi	s morning with Mrs. Baisa's Planning		
14		Committee.	Members, with no announcements at this		
15		point			
16	UNIDE	NTIFIED SPEA	KER: None.		
17	CHAIR	MATEO:	the Policy Committee meeting for March 11,		
18		2008, is no	w adjourned(gavel)		
19	ADJOU	RNED: 5:11	p.m.		
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII )
3	) SS.
4	CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU )
5	
6	I, Wendy M. Watanabe, Certified Shorthand Reporter
7	for the State of Hawaii, hereby certify that the
8	proceedings were taken down by me in machine shorthand and
9	was thereafter reduced to typewritten form under my
LO	supervision; that the foregoing represents to the best of
L1	my ability, a true and correct transcript of the
L2	proceedings had in the foregoing matter.
L3	I further certify that I am not attorney for any of
L4	the parties hereto, nor in any way concerned with the
L5	cause.
L6	DATED this 27th day of March, 2008, in Honolulu,
L7	Hawaii.
L8	
L9	
20	Wendy M. Watanabe, CSR NO. 401
21	Notary Public, State of Hawaii My Commission Expires: 04/07/2010
22	My Commission Expires 01/07/2010
23	
24	
25	